

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	]
10/757,620	01/14/2004	Jeffrey Wannamaker	TVW/APP51US 4798		•
59906 SVNINTESVED	7590 05/07/2007 T & LECHNER, LLP	EXAMINER			
TVWORKS, L	LC	NAHAR, QAMRUN		,	
1101 MARKE SUITE 2600	T STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	]	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19107	2191		1	
			·		י ר
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	J
			05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	·····				
Office Action Summary		10/757,62	20	WANNAMAKER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Qamrun N	lahar	2191					
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
Period fo	• •								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi- cation. bry period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·							
1)[\[\)	Responsive to communication(s) filed (	on 14 January 200	Δ						
·	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> . a)⊡ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)									
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	•							
·		dication							
· ·	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer							
• —	The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 200		ented or b) objected	d to by the Exami	ner.				
.0/23	Applicant may not request that any objection								
					CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do								
	2. Certified copies of the priority do								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	·		ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
• •	application from the Internationa			, 					
	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cert	fied copies not receive	ea.					
Attachmen	,t(c)								
	n(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	9-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate	•				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I	ratent Application					

Art Unit: 2191

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 have been examined.

#### Specification

2. The use of the trademark JAVA has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "A method processing" on line 28 of pg. 2 should be "A method **for** processing".

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "to provide a application file" on lines 28-29 of pg. 2 should be "to provide an application file".

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

5. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: "and" is missing after the text "names;" on line 7 of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2191

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

8. Claim 9 recites "an application" in line 3 of the claim, which renders the claim indefinite

because it is unclear whether this limitation refers to the application on line 6 of claim 1 or this is

another application. Therefore, this limitation is interpreted as "the application".

9. Claim 9 recites "the names" in line 4 of the claim, which renders the claim indefinite

because it is unclear whether this limitation refers to the method names on line 9 of claim 1 or to

the target device names on lines 9-10 of claim 1. Therefore, this limitation is interpreted as "the

target device names".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and

requirements of this title.

11. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed

to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2191

12. Claim 15 does not appear to produce a concrete, tangible and useful result. That is, the step of "iteratively resolving ..." does not appear to produce a concrete, tangible and useful result.

- 13. Claim 16 reciting a "signal bearing medium", which suggests that such a medium may be a carrier wave or transmission medium (intangible). Accordingly, claim 16 does not recite tangible manufactures, and are non-statutory subject matter.
- 14. Claim 17 reciting "A computer program product, comprising a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave", which is intangible. Accordingly, claim 17 does not recite tangible manufactures, and are non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 16. Claims 1-3, 7-9 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmidt (U.S. 6,535,894).

Art Unit: 2191

Per Claim 1:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- removing from said JAR file at least a portion of information not necessary for executing

Page 5

said application ("... unnecessary files in the original archive file ... are deleted if so desired for

a particular implementation ..." in column 15, lines 57-65)

- mapping at least one of application defined interface, class, field and method names to

shorter names ("... file names ..." in column 12, lines 1-17)

- and mapping at least one of target environment defined interface, class, field and method

names to corresponding target device names ("... a new entry ..." in column 12, lines 18-35).

Per Claim 2:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- wherein said step of removing comprises: removing unnecessary byte codes from said

JAR file (column 15, lines 57-65).

Per Claim 3:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

- wherein said step of removing comprises: removing at least one of private unreferenced

Page 6

methods and fields from said JAR file (column 15, lines 57-65).

#### Per Claim 7:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- further comprising: preferentially remapping application references to at least one of

target environment defined interface, class, field and method names (column 12, lines 18-

35).

#### Per Claim 8:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- wherein: a target environment obfuscation is provided in which symbols used in the

target environment are replaced with shorter names (column 12, lines 3-11).

#### Per Claim 9:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- wherein: an application obfuscation is provided in which symbols used in an application

are replaced with shorter names that do not overlap the names used for target environment

obfuscation (column 12, lines 11-17).

Art Unit: 2191

Per Claim 13:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- wherein: said mapping steps are only used for mapping private symbols (column 12, lines

18-35).

Per Claim 14:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- removing at least a portion of at least one of non-critical archive information, class

information and unreferenced member information from a Jar file including an

application ("... unnecessary files in the original archive file ... are deleted if so desired for a

particular implementation ..." in column 15, lines 57-65)

- replacing at least one of interface, class, field and method names with corresponding

shorter interface, class, field and method names ("... file names ..." in column 12, lines 1-17)

- replacing at least one of target environment defined interface, class, field and method

names with corresponding target device interface, class, field and method names ("... a new

entry ..." in column 12, lines 18-35).

Art Unit: 2191

Per Claim 15:

The Schmidt patent discloses:

- iteratively resolving application defined and target environment defined class, field and

method names to interpret application byte codes presented within a ground Jar file (Fig.

11, item 744, "MORE ENTRIES IN TARGET?" and column 15, line 11 to column 16, line 29).

Per Claim 16:

This is a signal bearing medium version of the claimed method discussed above, claim 1,

wherein all claim limitations also have been addressed and/or covered in cited areas as set forth

above. Thus, accordingly, this claim is also anticipated by Schmidt.

Per Claim 17:

This is a computer program product version of the claimed method discussed above,

claim 1, wherein all claim limitations also have been addressed and/or covered in cited areas as

set forth above. Thus, accordingly, this claim is also anticipated by Schmidt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

18. Claims 4-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt (U.S. 6,535,894) in view of Factor (U.S. 6,732,108).

### Per Claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Schmidt does not explicitly teach identifying within said JAR file instances of duplicate strings; and remapping each duplicate string to a corresponding initial string. Factor teaches identifying within said JAR file instances of duplicate strings; and remapping each duplicate string to a corresponding initial string (column 11, lines 27-38).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Schmidt to include identifying within said JAR file instances of duplicate strings; and remapping each duplicate string to a corresponding initial string using the teaching of Factor. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to eliminate duplicate constants by moving them to a shared table (Factor, column 2, lines 21-26).

#### Per Claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Schmidt does not explicitly teach identifying within said JAR file instances of strings; providing a table to hold one instance of each identified string; and remapping each identified string to a corresponding string table entry. Factor teaches identifying within said JAR file instances of strings; providing a table to hold one

instance of each identified string; and remapping each identified string to a corresponding string table entry (column 11, lines 27-38).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Schmidt to include identifying within said JAR file instances of strings; providing a table to hold one instance of each identified string; and remapping each identified string to a corresponding string table entry using the teaching of Factor. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to eliminate duplicate constants by moving them to a shared table (Factor, column 2, lines 21-26).

#### Per Claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Schmidt does not explicitly teach further comprising at least one of the following steps: (a) removing unreferenced constant pool entries for at least one class; (b) mapping constant pool entry names to fixed length names; and (c) sorting constant pool entries by type. Factor teaches further comprising at least one of the following steps: (a) removing unreferenced constant pool entries for at least one class; (b) mapping constant pool entry names to fixed length names; and (c) sorting constant pool entries by type (column 11, lines 35-38).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Schmidt to include further comprising at least one of the following steps: (a) removing unreferenced constant pool entries for at least one class; (b) mapping constant pool entry names to fixed length names; and (c)

Art Unit: 2191

sorting constant pool entries by type using the teaching of Factor. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to eliminate duplicate constants by moving them to a shared table (Factor, column 2, lines 21-26).

## Per Claim 10:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Schmidt does not explicitly teach mapping constant pool entry names to names having a fixed length. Factor teaches mapping constant pool entry names to names having a fixed length (column 10, line 64 to column 11, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Schmidt to include mapping constant pool entry names to names having a fixed length using the teaching of Factor. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to eliminate duplicate constants by moving them to a shared table (Factor, column 2, lines 21-26).

## Per Claim 11:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated, and Factor further teaches further comprising: moving strings from the constant pool to a common string pool (column 10, line 64 to column 11, line 3).

#### Per Claim 12:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Schmidt does not explicitly teach further comprising: assigning a global name to at least one of application and target environment methods of each interface class. Factor teaches further comprising: assigning a global name to at least one of application and target environment methods of each interface class (column 10, line 64 to column 11, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Schmidt to include further comprising: assigning a global name to at least one of application and target environment methods of each interface class using the teaching of Factor. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to eliminate duplicate constants by moving them to a shared table (Factor, column 2, lines 21-26).

#### Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Qamrun Nahar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3730. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y Zhen, can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Page 13

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QN

April 27, 2007

- Nah

WEI ZHEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EVALUE